



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/623,726	09/07/2000	Christer Alstermark	3525-94 6282	
75	90 06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Nixon & Vanderhye			COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
1100 North Glebe Road 8th Floor Arlington, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/623,726	ALSTERMARK ET A	AL			
Advisory Addoll	Examiner	Art Unit				
ļ	Brenda Coleman	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 November 2003. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			,			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.			
NOTE: see attached Advisory Action.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>16,26-34 and 38</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,17,18,24,25,35-37 and 39</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/623,726

Art Unit: 1624

## ADVISORY ACTION

Claims 1-18 and 24-39 are pending in the application.

An appeal under 37 CFR 1.191 was filed in this application on November 20, 2003.

The amendment filed May 19, 2003 under 37 CFR 1.116 in response to the final rejection has been considered but is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance and will **not** be entered because:

The amendment to claim 1 would raise the issue of new matter. The following reason(s) apply:

The proposed amendment to the proviso of claim 1 labeled (a) would raise the issue of new matter where B-CR $_5$ R $_6$ -A is a -CH $_2$ - moiety. The proviso in the specification and the proviso of original claim 1 was such that when A and B are both single bonds and R $^7$  is optionally substituted aryl, then R $^5$  and R $^6$  do not both represent H. The proposed proviso submitted with the response filed May 19, 2004 does not include the compounds where B-CR $_5$ R $_6$ -A is a -CH $_2$ - moiety and thus claim 1 now includes those compounds where B-CR $_5$ R $_6$ -A is a -CH $_2$ - moiety of which the specification lacks written description (35 U.S.C. § 112) and a statement of utility (35 U.S.C. § 101).

In addition to the presence of new matter in the proposed amendment there are also many other rejections and/or objections. The following are just a few:

a) The proposed amendment to the specification includes in the fourth line from the bottom the compound GLG-V-13 (3-[4-(1H-imidazol-l-yl)benzoyl]7-iso-

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/623,726

Art Unit: 1624

propyl-3,7-diazabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane) which indicates that the point of attachment of the imidazole is through a lower case L not a number 1.

- b) The proposed amendment to claim 1 in the proviso includes the following, i.e.  $B-CR_5CR_6-A$ . It is not known what is meant by the second "C" between the  $R_5$  and the  $R_6$  and there are no variables  $R_5$  and  $R_6$  in the claim. It is believed that the applicants intended superscripts not subscripts.
- c) Claim 2 lacks antecedent basis for the variable R', there is no variable R prime in claim 1.
- d) Claim 6 contains in the fourth and fifth lines from the bottom "canyo".
- e) Claim 7 contains the definition of R<sup>15</sup> "independently", however, there is only one R<sup>15</sup> mentioned.
- f) Claim 7 also contains the statement that R<sup>15</sup> together, which is not known with what.
- g) Claim 11 also contains the additional limitation to the definition of A where A is also optionally interrupted by O.
- h) Claim 15 contains in the sixth and ninth lines "akyl".
- i) Claim 15 contains in the ninth line "prt".
- j) Claim 16 contains preferably.
- k) Claim 16 contains in the third line "substitutent".
- I) Claim 25 contains in the process labeled (s) C0<sub>2</sub>H, which is believed to be CO<sub>2</sub>H with an O not a zero.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,726

Art Unit: 1624

- m) Claim 25 contains R<sup>3</sup> in the process labeled (w) which lacks antecedent basis.
- n) Claim 33 contains a proviso where  $R^7$  does not represent optionally substituted phenyl which embraced by the proviso  $R_7$  does not represent  $C_{1-6}$  alkyl or optionally substituted phenyl.
- o) Claim 33 contains the variable R<sub>7</sub>. It is believed that the applicants intended superscripts not subscripts.
- p) Claim 37 contains the organic acid "acetic is acid".
- q) Claim 38 contains the statement "cyano group is in he 4-position relative to B", where it is not known what "he" means.

The applicants are urged to review the claims for the above issues in any subsequent amendments.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is 571-272-0665. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached on 571-272-0674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/623,726 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brenda Coleman

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624

Brenda Coleman

May 28, 2004